

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/097,383	06/16/1998	KARE CHRISTIANSEN	PM254781	2876	
909	7590 06/19/2002			•	
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP		EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102			SHAY, DAVID M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3739		
			DATE MAILED: 06/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tradomark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	Α.	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
				_
	•		EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1_		
		C	ATE MAILED	

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION								
-1HE	HE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:							
a) [Z]	If is extended to run from the date of the final reject	ion						
	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whiche event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final re-							
	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.							
_ App	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).							
App to p	Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed hum 3, 2002 has been considered with the following effect, to place the application in condition for allowance:	out it is not deemed						
1.	The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands be	ecause:						
	a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and a presented.	was not earlier						
	b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).							
	c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).							
	d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifyi appeal.	ng the issues for						
	e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
	NOTE: b) = claiming fellered pulse energy limety is now issue also possibly now matter	clan 27 n						
2.	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed am the non-allowable claims.	endment cancelling						
3. 🗗	Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment [] will be entered [] will not be entered and the status be as follows:	of the claims will						
	Claims allowed:							
	Claims objected to:							
	Claims rejected: However;							
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection be	ecause						
5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why presented.	it was not earlier						
☐ The	he proposed drawing correction 🔲 has 🔲 has not been approved by the examiner.	L						
Dother The comme cloudy explained bot opplicants were anyway a limitation not present								
in the claims. Applicant had be exportently to amend the charmed to								
Other The annual clouds explained but approved by the examiner. Dother The annual clouds explained but approximate a use anguage a limitation at present in the claims. Applicant had be apportunity to annual the claims price to a commental with falling of the RCE and close not to this to DAVID M. SHATE PRIMARY EXAMINER PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89) with frame and will not be PRIMARY EXAMINER								
PTOL-303	303 (REV. 5-89) PHIMARY E	XAMINEH						